



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/157,884 09/21/98 VEGA-GARCIA

A 777.179US1

021186 TM01/0615
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

EXAMINER

PRIETO, B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2152

DATE MAILED:

06/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/157,884

Applicant(s)

VEGA-GARCIA

Examiner

Prieto, B.

Group Art Unit
2152



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prieto, B. (USPTO)

(3) _____

(2) Conklin, J. (Reg. No. 30,369)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 13, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 24 and 32

Identification of prior art discussed:

Bar et. al. (U.S. 6,122,665)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed claims (24 and 32) limitation in particular; "determining whether one or more of the first and second sets of audio data is associated with an inactive conference participant; and responsive to determination of the inactive conference participant, substituting a third set of data from a third conference participant, for at least the one of the first and second sets of audio data associated with the inactive conference participant"; Applicant further amplified that the operation of substitution comprises the mapping of streams, wherein by monitoring incoming data stream's activity associated with each participant, data streams are mapped or not mapped to downstream modules based on the active or inactive status of the stream. Examiner will review claim limitation and applied art in light of specifications and provided clarification upon subsequent communication to latest office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

LE HIEN LUU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.